

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,353	_	01/05/2004	Darryl J.C. Pappin	BP0309-US	2228
23544	7590	04/11/2005		EXAMINER	
	Biosystems		CORDERO GARCIA, MARCELA M		
35 Wiggins Avenue BEDFORD, MA 01730				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1654	<del></del>
				DATE MAILED: 04/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summan	10/751,353	PAPPIN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Marcela M Cordero Garcia	1654					
Period fo	• •							
THE   - External after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a roperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the major part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than	<ol> <li>In no event, however, may a reply be telepty within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON</li> </ol>	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims		,					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume	,	a)-(d) or (f).					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>		tion No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	• •						
	application from the International Bure	•	voa in une Maneria. Otago					
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, ,,,	ved.					
		•						
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
		· — —						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to a mixture of labeled analytes, classified, e.g., in class 530, subclass 300.
- II. Claims 7-13, drawn to a mixture of fragment ions, classified, e.g., in class 530, subclass 402.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The compounds of Groups I-II are different and distinct, each from the other, because they comprise one or more structural features that are mutually exclusive from the structural features of the compounds of the other groups.

The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one Group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another Group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each Group is not necessarily required for the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement, to be complete, must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Art Unit: 1654

In addition, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the many embodiments of combinations of labeled analytes (see, e.g., claims 1-12).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species [i.e., elect at least two compounds from amongst those recited in claims 1 or 8, including a corresponding analyte from amongst the following: a) peptide/protein (claims 2-3, 8-9), b) nucleic acid (claims 4 and 10), c) carbohydrate/lipid/steroid (claims 5 and 11) and d) small molecule < 1500 Da (claims 6 and 12)] for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 and 7 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcela M Cordero Garcia whose telephone number is (571) 272-2939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marula M Crdew Gunta Marcela M Cordero Garcia, Ph.D.

**Patent Examiner** Art Unit 1654

MMCG 04/05

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER